arXiv:math/0612214v1 [math.AC] 8 Dec 2006

HOMOMORPHISMS OF LOCAL ALGEBRAS IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

GUILLAUME ROND

Dedicated to Professor Shuzo Izumi on his 66th birthday

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study some properties of homomorphisms of local $\mathbb{k}$-algebras, in particular when $\mathbb{k}$ is a field of positive characteristic. In characteristic zero, the geometric rank of a homomorphism of local algebras is a nice invariant that gives information about the structure of this homomorphism. In particular, a result due to P. M. Eakin and G. A. Harris [E-H] tells us that a homomorphism between rings of power series (or convergent series) over a field of characteristic zero can be monomialized, and after monomialization the geometric rank is equal to the dimension of the image of the monomial homomorphism. Homomorphisms with maximal geometric rank have nice properties: they satisfy a linear version of Chevalley lemma and the theorem of Gabrielov: if the geometric rank of an injective homomorphism of analytic $\mathbb{k}$-algebras is maximal then the homomorphism is strongly injective.

In characteristic zero, the geometric rank is equal to the rank of the B-module generated by $\Omega^1_{\mathbb{k}}(A)/\cap\mathfrak{m}_A^n$ in $\Omega^1_{\mathbb{k}}(B)/\cap\mathfrak{m}_B^n$. Unfortunately this definition does not extend well in positive characteristic for some obvious problems (for instance look at the $\mathbb{k}$-homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{k}[[x]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}[[x]]$ defined by $\varphi(x) = x^p$ where $\mathrm{char}\,(\mathbb{k}) = p$: this homomorphism obviously sastisfies a linear Chevalley estimate).

In this paper we give a natural differential analogue of geometric rank in positive characteristic, called the *differential rank*. Then we define another invariant, called the *geometric rank*, using the transcendance degree of a certain valuation. This last definition has been first given by M. Spivakovsky in [Sp1]. We first prove a result (cf. theorem 4.3) about the structure of $\mathbb{k}$-homomorphisms between rings of power series over a perfect field of positive characteristic (similar to the result of P. M. Eakin and G. A. Harris [E-H] valid in characteristic zero). This result involves the geometric rank and shows that it is the right analogue of the geometric rank defined usually in characteristic zero. This result is very close to a monomialization result of dominant homomorphisms in positive characteristic (but monomialization of such morphisms does not exist in general, see example 4.7). Moreover the proof of it is algorithmic and allows us to compute the geometric rank.

Then we can deduce our first main result which is the characteristic positive analogue of the main result of [Iz3] about the linear Chevalley lemma :

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of local $\mathbb{k}$-algebras where $\mathbb{k}$ is a field of positive characteristic. Assume that $\widehat{A}$ is an integral domain and B is regular. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

i) $grk\,\varphi = dimA$.

THE AUTHOR'S RESEARCH WAS SUPPORTED BY NSERC GRANT 0GP0009070

ii) *There exist* $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $a\nu_{\mathfrak{m}_A}(f) + b \geq \nu_{\mathfrak{m}_B}(\varphi(f))$ *for any* $f \in A$.

We would like to mention the work of R. Hübl [Hu] who gave sufficient conditions for general homomorphisms of local rings to satisfy condition *ii*). He uses nicely a deep result of S. Izumi and D. Rees about the so-called Rees valuations. Unfortunately these conditions are sometimes difficult to check in practise and we do not know if they are necessary conditions.

Then we study homomorphisms of henselian and analytic $\mathbb{k}$-algebras, where $\mathbb{k}$ is a valued field of any characteristic (for definitions, look at section 6). For this we first state a preparation theorem for hesenlian $\mathbb{k}$-algebras (cf. proposition 6.1). Indeed the Weierstrass preparation theorem is essentially the only tool that we use for this study. Then we give an henselian and an analytic version of the theorem 4.3 in any characteristic (cf. theorem 6.10). Then we deduce from it a weak version of a theorem of A. M. Gabrielov [Ga2] for henselian and analytic $\mathbb{k}$-algebras in any characteristic. This problem has been widely studied in the case of analytic $\mathbb{k}$-algebras in characteristic zero (cf. for example [B-Z], [E-H], [Ga1], [Ga2], [Iz1], [Iz2], [Iz4], [Mi], [Sp1], [To2]). This is our second main result:

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $\mathbb{k}$ *be a field of any characteristic. Let* $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ *a homomorphism of henselian or analytic* $\mathbb{k}$*-algebras, where* A *and* B *are regular. If* $grk\,\varphi = dimA$ *then* φ *is strongly injective (i.e.* $\widehat{\varphi}^{-1}(B) = A$*).*

Then using the algorithmic proof of the theorem 6.10, we prove that if A is a two dimensional henselian, analytic or complete integral domain, then φ is injective if and only if $\mathrm{grk}\,\varphi = 2$ (cf. theorem 7.1). We deduce from this a generalization of a theorem due to S. S. Abhyankar and M. van der Put [A-vdP] (they studied the case when A is an analytic regular $\mathbb{k}$-algebra):

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $\mathbb{k}$ *be a field of any characteristic. Let* $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ *be a homomorphism of henselian or analytic* $\mathbb{k}$*-algebras where* A *is regular and* $dim(A) = 2$*. If* φ *is injective then it is strongly injective.*

The author would like to thank Edward Bierstone for his comments about this work and his financial support at the University of Toronto. I would like also to thank Mark Spivakovsky who pointed out few mistakes in a preliminary version and helped me to improve this paper with his mathematical and english remarks.

1.1. **Terminology.** In this paper, rings are always assumed to be commutative noetherian rings with unity. A *local* $\mathbb{k}$*-algebra* will be a local ring A, with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_A$, containing $\mathbb{k}$ such that the induced morphism $\mathbb{k} \longrightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}_A$ is a finite extension of fields. A *homomorphism of local rings* $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ means a ring homomorphism such that $\varphi(\mathfrak{m}_A) \subset \mathfrak{m}_B$ and the homomorphism induced $A/\mathfrak{m}_A \longrightarrow B/\mathfrak{m}_B$ is a finite extension of fields. The $\mathfrak{m}_A$-adic valuation $\nu_{\mathfrak{m}_A}$ is defined by $\nu_{\mathfrak{m}_A}(f) := \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} \ / \ f \in \mathfrak{m}_A^n\}$ for any $f \in A$. For $f \in A$ where A is a local ring, $\mathrm{in}(f)$ will denote the image of f in $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_A} A$. Because we are mostly interested in positive characteristic fields and because most of the results given here are known in characteristic zero, the ground field $\mathbb{k}$ is always a field of characteristic $p > 0$.

2. The differential rank

The aim of this section is to define an natural and naive differential analogue of geometric rank in characteristic zero. Unfortunatly this definition will not be the right one as we show later (cf. remark 4.7).

For any $\mathbb{k}$-algebra A, $\Omega^1_{\mathbb{k}}(A)$ will denote the A-module of Kähler differentials of A over $\mathbb{k}$.

The A-module of *separated Kähler differential*, denoted $\overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(A)$, is the A-module $\frac{\Omega^1_{\Bbbk}(A)}{\cap_{i=0}^{\infty}\mathfrak{m}_A^i\Omega^1_{\Bbbk}(A)}$ (cf. [Sp1]). If $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of local $\Bbbk$-algebras, there exists a unique homomorphism $\varphi^1 : \overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(A) \longrightarrow \overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(B)$ over φ compatible with the canonical derivations $d : A \longrightarrow \overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(A)$ and $d : B \longrightarrow \overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(B)$.
The homomorphism φ restricted to $\varphi^{-1}(B^p)$ factors as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\varphi^{-1}(B^p) \arrow[rr, "\varphi"] \arrow[dr, "\varphi_1"'] & & B^p \\
& B \arrow[ur, "(\ )^p"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $(\ )^p$ denotes the Frobenius map $f \longmapsto f^p$. We have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of local $\Bbbk$-algebras. The ring $\varphi^{-1}(B^p)$ is a noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\varphi^{-1}(B^p) \cap \mathfrak{m}_A$ and $dim(\varphi^{-1}(B^p)) = dim(A)$.*

Proof. The ring $\varphi^{-1}(B^p)$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $\varphi^{-1}(B^p) \cap \mathfrak{m}_A$, because every element in $\varphi^{-1}(B^p) \backslash \left(\varphi^{-1}(B^p) \cap \mathfrak{m}_A\right)$ is invertible in $\varphi^{-1}(B^p)$. We have $\dim(\varphi^{-1}(B^p)) = \dim(A)$, because if $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is a system of parameters of A, then $(x_1^p, ..., x_n^p)$ is a system of parameters of $\varphi^{-1}(B^p)$.

□

Definition 2.2. *Let $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of local $\Bbbk$-algebras where B is an integral domain. Let us denote $A_0 := A$ and $\varphi_0 := \varphi$. For any integer $k \geq 1$, let us denote $A_k := \varphi^{-1}(B^{p^k})$ and let φ_k be the homomorphism from A_k to B such that $\varphi_k^{p^k} = \varphi$ on A_k. In particular $A_k = \varphi^{-1}(B^{p^k})$ for any k. Moreover let us denote*

$$m_k := rank_B \left(B\varphi_k^1(\overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(A_k))\right)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. The sequence $(m_k)_k$ is an increasing sequence of integer less or equal to $dim(A)$. In particular this sequence stabilizes for $k >> 0$ and $\lim_{+\infty} m_k \leq dim(A)$.*

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We remark that $\varphi_k^{-1}(B^p) = \varphi^{-1}(B^{p^{k+1}})$. Let $\omega_1, ..., \omega_{m_k} \in \overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(A_k)$ such that $\varphi_k^1(\omega_1), ..., \varphi_k^1(\omega_{m_k})$ are B-linearly independent in $\overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(B)$. We can write for all i

$$\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{i,j} dx_j$$

where $(x_1, ..., x_d)$ be a system of generators of $\mathfrak{m}_{A_k}$ such that $x_1, ..., x_{n_k} \notin \varphi_k^{-1}(B^p)$ and $x_{n_k+1}, ..., x_d \in \varphi_k^{-1}(B^p)$. In particular we have

$$\varphi_k^1(\omega_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \varphi(a_{i,j}) d\varphi_k(x_j)$$

We denote $x'_j := x_j^p$ for $j \leq n_k$ and $x'_j := x_j$ for $j > n_k$. Then $(x'_1, ..., x'_d) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{A_{k+1}}$ and $\varphi_{k+1}(x'_j) = \varphi_k(x_j)$ for $j \leq n_k$. We denote $a'_{i,j} = a_{i,j}$ if $a_{i,j} \in \varphi^{-1}(B^p)$ and $a'_{i,j} = a_{i,j}^p$ if

$a_{i,\,j}\notin\varphi^{-1}(B^p)$. We have $\varphi_{k+1}(a'_{i,\,j})=\varphi_k(a_{i,\,j})$ for any i, j. Let us denote for all i

$$\omega'_i=\sum_{j=1}^{n_k}a'_{i,\,j}dx'_j.$$

Then we have $\varphi^1_k(\omega_i)=\varphi^1_{k+1}(\omega'_i)$ for all i, hence $\varphi^1_{k+1}(\omega'_1),...,\varphi^1_{k+1}(\omega'_{m_k})$ are B-linearly independant in $\overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(B)$ and we have

$$m_{k+1}=\mathrm{rank}_B\left(B\varphi^1_{k+1}(\overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(A_{k+1}))\right)\geq m_k.$$

Now the lemma follows from the fact that $\mathrm{rank}_A\overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(A_k)\leq\dim(A_k)=d$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$ because $\Bbbk$ is perfect. □

Definition 2.4. *Under the assumptions of definition 2.2, assume that $\Bbbk$ is perfect. The differential rank of φ is defined by*

$$drk\,\varphi:=\lim_{k\longrightarrow+\infty}m_k.$$

In particular we have $drk\,\varphi\leq dim(A)$.

Example 2.5. *Let $\varphi\ :\ \Bbbk[[x_1,\,x_2]]\longrightarrow\Bbbk[[y_1,\,y_2]]$ defined by*

$$\varphi(x_1):=y_1^p(1+y_2),$$

$$\varphi(x_2):=y_2^p(1+y_2).$$

In particular we see that $B\varphi^1(\overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(A)$ is a non-zero submodule of Bdy_2, and then we have $rank_B\left(B\varphi^1(\overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(A))\right)=1$.

We can easily check that the image of $f=\sum c_{i,\,j}x_1^ix_2^j$ under φ is in B^p if and only if $i+j\in(p)$ wheneve $c_{i,\,j}\neq0$.

Then we have

$$A_1=\Bbbk[[x_1^p,\,x_1^{p-1}x_2,...,\,x_1x_2^{p-1},\,x_2^p]]$$

or

$$A_1=\Bbbk[[x'_0,...,\,x'_p]]/(x'_0x'_p-x'_ix'_{p-i},\,i=0,...,\,p)$$

where $x'_i:=x_1^{p-i}x_2^i$ for any i. We see that A_1 is not a regular ring. We have $\varphi_1(x'_i)^p=\varphi(x_1^{p-i}x_2^i)$ for all i, so we get

$$\varphi_1(x'_i)=y_1^{p-i}y_2^i(1+y_2),\quad\forall i.$$

Then we have for all i

$$\varphi^1_1(dx'_i)=\Big[(p-i)y_1^{p-i-1}y_2^i(1+y_2)\Big]\,dy_1+\Big[y_1^{p-i}y_2^{i-1}(i+(i+1)y_2)\Big]\,dy_2,$$

and we deduce $rank_B\left(B\varphi^1_1(\overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(A_1))\right)=2$. Hence $drk\,\varphi=2$.

If we denote $A':=\Bbbk[[x'_0,\,x'_1]]$, then the following injections are finite morphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}A' \arrow[r, hook] & A_1 \arrow[r, hook] & A\end{tikzcd}$$

and $rank_B\left(B\varphi^1_1(\overline{\Omega}^1_{\Bbbk}(A'))\right)=2$. We can check moreover that for any $f\in A'$, $\varphi_1(f)\in B^p$ if and only if $f\in A'^p$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of complete local $\mathbb{k}$-algebras where $\mathbb{k}$ is prefect and B is an integral domain. Then there exist $A' := \mathbb{k}[[x_1, ..., x_d]]$ and a finite homomorphism $\psi : A' \longrightarrow A$ such that drk$(\varphi \circ \psi) =$ drkφ.*

Proof. Let us consider the following diagram where the horizontal homomorphisms are finite injections

$$\begin{tikzcd} A_{k_0} \arrow[r] & \cdots \arrow[r] & A_1 \arrow[r] & A_0 = A \end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\text{rank}_B\left(B\varphi^1_{k_0}(\overline{\Omega}^1_{\mathbb{k}}(A_{k_0}))\right) = n := \text{drk}\,\varphi.$$

Let us consider a system of generators $(x_1, ... x_r)$ of $\mathfrak{m}_{A_{k_0}}$. Then $\varphi^1_{k_0}(dx_1), ..., \varphi^1_{k_0}(dx_r)$ generate the B-module $B\varphi^1_{k_0}(\overline{\Omega}^1_{\mathbb{k}}(A_{k_0}))$. We can extract from the family $(x_1, ..., x_r)$ a subfamily $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ such that $\varphi^1_{k_0}(dx_1), ..., \varphi^1_{k_0}(dx_n)$ are B-linearly independent. In particular $x_1, ..., x_n$ form a part of a regular system of parameters. Thus we can complete this subfamily by $x_{n+1}, ..., x_d \in \mathfrak{m}_{A_{k_0}}$ such that $(x_1, ..., x_d)$ is a regular system of parameters of A_{k_0}. The the ring $A' := \mathbb{k}[[x_1, ..., x_d]]$ is a regular ring and the injection $\psi : A' \longrightarrow A$ is finite (cf. [Na] theorem 30.6). It comes from the construction that $\text{drk}\,(\varphi \circ \psi) \geq \text{drk}\,\varphi$, so it is clear that $\text{drk}\,(\varphi \circ \psi) = \text{drk}\,\varphi$. □

3. The geometric rank

Let $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of local $\mathbb{k}$-algebras and assume that A is an integral domain and B is regular. Consider the valuation $\nu = \nu_B \circ \varphi$ defined on Frac(A). We denote by A_ν the valuation ring associated to ν and by $\mathfrak{m}_\nu$ its maximal ideal. We denote by $\text{tr.deg}_{\mathbb{k}}\nu$ the transcendence degree of the field extension $\mathbb{k} \longrightarrow \frac{A_\nu}{\mathfrak{m}_\nu}$.
The Abhyankar inequality says in our context that

$$\text{tr.deg}_{\mathbb{k}}\nu + 1 \leq \dim A.$$

Definition 3.1. [Sp1] *The integer tr.deg$_{\mathbb{k}}\nu+1$ is called the geometric rank of φ and denoted grk$\,\varphi$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let φ, A and B as above. Assume moreover that $\widehat{A}$ is an integral domain. Then grk$\,\varphi =$ grk$\,\widehat{\varphi}$.*

Proof. We denote A_ν (resp. $\widehat{A}_{\widehat{\nu}}$) the valuation ring associated to $\nu = \nu_B \circ \varphi$ (resp. to $\widehat{\nu} = \nu_B \circ \widehat{\varphi}$) and $\mathfrak{m}_\nu$ its maximal ideal (resp. $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widehat{\nu}}$). We have $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widehat{\nu}} \cap A_\nu = \mathfrak{m}_\nu$ then the quotient homomorphism $\frac{A_\nu}{\mathfrak{m}_\nu} \longrightarrow \frac{\widehat{A}_{\widehat{\nu}}}{\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widehat{\nu}}}$ is injective, hence $\text{grk}\,\widehat{\varphi} \geq \text{grk}\,\varphi$.
On the other hand, if $f_1, ..., f_q \in \widehat{A}_{\widehat{\nu}}$ are algebraically independant over $\mathbb{k}$ in the field $\mathbb{k}_{\widehat{\nu}} = \frac{\widehat{A}_{\widehat{\nu}}}{\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widehat{\nu}}}$, then we can consider elements $f'_1, ..., f'_q \in A_\nu$ such that $f'_i - f_i \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_{\widehat{\nu}}$. Then $f'_1, ..., f'_q$ are algebraically independent in $\mathbb{k}_{\widehat{\nu}}$ because their images coincide with the images of $f_1, ..., f_q$. Then $\text{grk}\,\varphi = \text{grk}\,\widehat{\varphi}$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of analytically irreducible local $\mathbb{k}$-algebras where $\widehat{A}$ is an integral domain and B is regular. If grk$\,\varphi =$ dim(A) then φ and $\widehat{\varphi}$ are both injective.*

Proof. From lemma 3.2 we know that $\text{grk}\,\widehat{\varphi} = \dim A = \dim \widehat{A}$. Assume that $\widehat{\varphi}$ is not injective. Let $f \in \text{Ker}\widehat{\varphi}\backslash\{0\}$ irreducible. Such an f exists because $\widehat{A}$ is an integral domain. Let us denote $A_1 := \widehat{A}/(f)$. The $\mathbb{k}$-algebra A_1 is integral and $\widehat{\varphi}$ factors through A_1. We denote by π the projection $\widehat{A} \longrightarrow A_1$ and by $\varphi_1 : A_1 \longrightarrow B$ the morphism such that $\widehat{\varphi} = \varphi_1 \circ \pi$.

Let us denote by ν_1 the valuation $\nu_B \circ \varphi_1$. Because $\varphi(f)=0$, we have $\operatorname{grk}\widehat{\varphi} = \operatorname{grk}\varphi_1$. From the Abhyankar inequality applied to A_1, one sees that $\operatorname{grk}\varphi_1 \leq \dim A_1$. But we have $\dim A_1 = \dim A - 1$, hence $\operatorname{grk}\widehat{\varphi} \leq \dim A - 1$ which is a contradiction. So $\widehat{\varphi}$ is injective and φ is injective too, because φ is a restriction of $\widehat{\varphi}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ and $\sigma : A' \longrightarrow A$ be homomorphisms of integral local $\mathbb{k}$-algebras, where A and A' are integral domains and B is regular. If σ is finite and injective then grk $(\varphi\circ\sigma) =$ grk φ.*

Proof. We denote by ν and ν' the valuations induced by φ and $\varphi\circ\sigma$ respectively. Let $f \in A_\nu$. Then there are $a_i \in A'_{\nu'}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$a_0 f^k + a_1 f^{k-1} + \cdots + a_k = 0$$

because $\operatorname{Frac}(A') \subset \operatorname{Frac}(A)$ is finite. We can assume that at least one of the a_i's satisfies $\nu'(a_i)=0$ even if it means dividing the last relation by a_{i_0} such that $\nu'(a_{i_0}) = \min_i \nu'(a_i)$. Then, if $\nu(f)=0$, we see that the image of f in $\mathbb{k}_\nu$ satisfies a non-trivial integral equation over $\mathbb{k}_{\nu'}$. Then the field extension $\mathbb{k}_{\nu'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}_\nu$ is algebraic and $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = \operatorname{grk}(\varphi\circ\sigma)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{k}[[x_1,...,x_n]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}'[[y_1,...,y_m]]$ be a homomorphism of complete local $\mathbb{k}$-algebras where $\mathbb{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}'$ is finite. Let $\varphi_{\mathbb{k}'}$ denote the induced homomorphism $\mathbb{k}'[[x_1,...,x_n]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}'[[y_1,...,y_m]]$. Then grk $\varphi =$ grk $\varphi_{\mathbb{k}'}$.*

Proof. The homomorphism $\mathbb{k}[[x_1,...,x_n]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}'[[x_1,...,x_n]]$ is finite and injective. Thus the result comes from lemma 3.4. $\square$

4. ALGORITHM FOR MODIFYING A HOMOMORPHISM OF A GIVEN RANK

We give here a positive characteristic version of a theorem proved by Eakin and Harris [E-H] in characteristic zero. This result is about the structure of homomorphisms of rings of formal power series over a field of positive characteristic. First we give the following definition:

Definition 4.1. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{k}[[x_1,...,x_n]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}[[y_1,...,y_m]]$. An admissible transformation of φ is a homomorphism $\overline{\varphi}$ related to φ in one of the following ways:*

1. *Modification by automorphisms: There exist a $\mathbb{k}$-automorphism τ of $\mathbb{k}[[x_1,...,x_n]]$ and a $\mathbb{k}$-automorphism σ of $\mathbb{k}[[y_1,...,y_m]]$ such that $\overline{\varphi} = \sigma\circ\varphi\circ\tau$.*
2. *Modification by blowing-up: There si $k \in \{1,...,m-1\}$ such that $\overline{\varphi} = \psi\circ\varphi$ where ψ is defined by*
$$\psi(y_i) = y_i \quad \text{for } i \leq k,$$
$$\psi(y_i) = y_k y_i \quad \text{for } i > k.$$
3. *Modification by ramification: There is $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\varphi = \overline{\varphi}\circ\psi_d$ where ψ_d is defined by*
$$\psi_d(x_1) = x_1^d, \text{ and } \psi_d(x_i) = x_i \quad \forall i \neq 1.$$
4. *Modification by contraction: There is $k \in \{1,...,n-1\}$ such that $\varphi = \overline{\varphi}\circ\psi$ where ψ is defined by*
$$\psi(x_i) = x_i \quad \text{for } i \leq k,$$
$$\psi(x_i) = x_i x_k \quad \text{for } i > k.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $\varphi : A := \Bbbk[[x_1, ..., x_n]] \longrightarrow B := \Bbbk[[y_1, ..., y_m]]$. *Let us consider a modification* $\overline{\varphi}$ *of* φ. *Then* $drk\overline{\varphi} = drk\varphi$, $grk\overline{\varphi} = grk\varphi$. *Moreover there are* a *and* b *such that*

$$a\nu_{\mathfrak{m}_A}(f) + b \geq \nu_{\mathfrak{m}_B}(\varphi(f))$$

for any $f \in A$, *if and only if there are* a' *and* b' *such that*

$$a'\nu_{\mathfrak{m}_A}(f) + b' \geq \nu_{\mathfrak{m}_B}(\overline{\varphi}(f))$$

for any $f \in A$.

Proof. The lemma is obvious for modifications of type (1).
The equality $\operatorname{drk}\overline{\varphi} = \operatorname{drk}\varphi$ for modifications of type (2) comes from the fact that $\varphi = \psi \circ \overline{\varphi}$, with $\operatorname{rank}_B\left(B\psi^1(\Omega^1_{\Bbbk}(B))\right) = m = dim(B)$. The same equality for modifications of type (3) and (4) comes from the fact that $\varphi = \overline{\varphi} \circ \psi$ with $rank_A\left(A\psi^1(\Omega^1_{\Bbbk}(A))\right) = n = dim(A)$.
The last statement comes from the fact that

$$\nu_B(f) \leq \nu_B(\psi(f)) \leq 2\nu_B(f) \quad \forall f \in B, \quad \text{for modification of type (2)},$$

$$\nu_A(f) \leq \nu_A(\psi(f)) \leq d\,\nu_A(f) \quad \forall f \in A, \quad \text{for modification of type (3)},$$

$$\nu_A(f) \leq \nu_A(\psi(f)) \leq 2\nu_A(f) \quad \forall f \in A, \quad \text{for modification of type (4)}.$$

To prove that $\operatorname{grk}\overline{\varphi} = \operatorname{grk}\varphi$, it is enough to see that $\overline{\varphi}$ defines a new valuation $\overline{\nu} = \nu_B \circ \overline{\varphi}$ on $\operatorname{Frac}(A)$ that is linearly equivalent to ν. For example, for modifications of type (2) we have

$$\overline{\nu}(f) = \nu_B(\overline{\varphi}(f)) \leq \nu_B(\varphi(f)) \leq 2\nu_B(\overline{\varphi}(f)) = 2\overline{\nu}(f), \quad \text{for any } f \in A.$$

Thus we see that $\Bbbk_\nu = \Bbbk_{\nu'}$, hence $\operatorname{grk}\overline{\varphi} = \operatorname{grk}\varphi$. □

Now we can state the main result of this article. The proof of this theorem is inspired by the proof of a similar result in characteristic zero proved by Eakin adn Harris [E-H].

Theorem 4.3. *Let* $\Bbbk$ *be a field of characteristic* $p > 0$ *and consider an homomorphism* $\varphi : A := \Bbbk[[x_1, ..., x_n]] \longrightarrow B := \Bbbk[[y_1, ..., y_m]]$. *There exists an admissible finite sequence of homomorphisms* $(\varphi_i)_i : \Bbbk[[x_1, ..., x_n]] \longrightarrow \Bbbk[[y_1, ..., y_m]]$ *such that* $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ *and* $\varphi_k(x_i) = y_i^{p^{\alpha_i}}u_i$, *for some units* u_i , *for* $i \leq grk\varphi$ *and* $\varphi_k(x_i) = 0$ *for* $i > grk\varphi$. *Moreover, for any* i, $u_i = 1$ *if* $\alpha_i = 0$, *and* $in(u_i) = 1$ *and* $u_i \notin B^p$ *if* $\alpha_i > 0$.

Proof. If $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = 0$, then $\varphi(f) = 0$ for all f. So we have the result.
Assume now that $\operatorname{grk}\varphi > 0$.
We will proceed by induction on the q-tuple $\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_q)$, defined later, ordered with the lexicographic order where $q \leq n$. At the beginning, $q = n$ and this q-tuple is $(+\infty, ..., +\infty)$.

Step 0: If $\varphi(x_1) = 0$ then we exchange x_n and x_1 and we replace the $\Bbbk$-algebra $A = \Bbbk[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$ by $\Bbbk[[x_1, ..., x_{n-1}]]$. Then we define $q = n - 1$ and $\mu := (\mu_1, ..., \mu_{n-1}) = (+\infty, ..., +\infty)$.

Step 1: If $\varphi(x_1) \neq 0$, then $\varphi(x_1)$ is different from a constant and then there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\operatorname{ord}(\varphi(x_1)) = d$. We denote by $g_d(y_1, ..., y_m)$ the initial term of $\varphi(x_1)$. Let $(a_{i,j})_{i,j=1,...,m}$ be a non-singular matrix with entries in $\Bbbk$ such that $g_d(a_{1,1}, ..., a_{m,1}) \neq 0$. We define an automorphism ψ of $\Bbbk[[y_1, ..., y_m]]$ by

$$\psi(y_j) := \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{j,k}y_k, \quad \forall j = 1, ..., m.$$

So we get

$$\psi\circ\varphi(x_1)=g_d(a_{1,1},...,a_{m,1})y_1^d+\{\text{terms of degree } d \text{ not divisible by } y_1^d\}$$
$$+\{\text{terms of degree} > d\}.$$

By composing $\psi\circ\varphi$ on the right by the automorphism of $\Bbbk[[x_1,...,x_n]]$ consisting of the division by $g_d(a_{1,1},...,a_{m,1})$, we may assume that

$$\varphi(x_1)=y_1^d+\{\text{terms of degree } d \text{ not divisible by } y_1^d\}$$
$$+\{\text{terms of degree} > d\}.$$

Now define a homomorphism ψ by

$$\psi(y_1):=y_1$$
$$\psi(y_i):=y_1y_i,\ \text{for } i>1.$$

We have $\psi\circ\varphi(x_1)=uy_1^d$, u being a unit of $\Bbbk[[y_1,...,y_m]]$.
Then by composing on the right by the automorphism σ defined by $\sigma(x_1):=\frac{1}{\text{in}(u)}x_1$ and $\sigma(x_i):=x_i$ for any $i\neq 1$ we can assume that $\psi\circ\varphi(x_1)=uy_1^d$ with $\text{in}(u)=1$.

Step 2: If $d=qp^{\alpha}$ with $\gcd(q,p)=1$, then we see that $\psi\circ\varphi=\varphi'\circ\tau'$ where $\tau'(x_1)=x_1^q$ and $\tau'(x_i)=x_i$ for $i\neq 1$, and $\varphi'(x_1)=u'y_1^{p^{\alpha}}$, $\varphi'(x_i)=\psi\circ\varphi(x_i)$ for $i\neq 1$ and $\text{in}(u')=1$. So we can replace φ by φ'.
In particular, if $\gcd(d,p)=1$, then we can assume $\varphi'(x_1)=y_1$.
Then, if $u\in A^{p^{\beta}}$, with $\beta\leq\alpha$, then we have $\varphi(x_1)=u'^{p^{\beta}}(y_1^{p^{\alpha-\beta}})^{p^{\beta}}$. So we see that $\psi\circ\varphi=\varphi'\circ\tau'$ where $\tau'(x_1)=x_1^{p^{\beta}}$ and $\tau'(x_i)=x_i$ for $i\neq 1$, and $\varphi'(x_1)=u'y_1^{p^{\alpha-\beta}}$, $\varphi'(x_i)=\psi\circ\varphi(x_i)$ for $i\neq 1$ and $\text{in}(u')=1$.
So we can assume that $\varphi(x_1)=uy_1^{p^{\alpha_1}}$, $\text{in}(u)=1$ and $u\notin B^p$ if $\alpha_1\neq 0$. At this step, the m-tuple $(\mu_1,...,\mu_m)=(\alpha_1,+\infty,...,+\infty)$.

Step 3: Assume that $\varphi(x_i)=y_i^{p^{\alpha_i}}u_i$, for $i<j$, with $\text{in}(u_i)=1$ and $u_i\notin A^p$ if $\alpha_i\neq 0$. Moreover we assume that $\alpha_1\leq\alpha_2\leq\cdots\leq\alpha_{j-1}$. We denote $\mu=(\alpha_1,...,\alpha_{j-1},+\infty,...,+\infty)$ and q is the dimension of A.
Pick $x_j\in A$ such that $(x_1,...,x_j)$ is a subset of a regular system of parameters. Assume that $\text{in}(\varphi(x_j))$ contains a monomial of the form $cy_1^{k_1}...y_{j-1}^{k_{j-1}}$. If p^{α_i} divides k_i for all $i\leq j-1$, then we replace x_j by the element $x_j-cx_1^{k_1/p^{\alpha_1}}...x_{j-1}^{k_{j-1}/p^{\alpha_{j-1}}}$. By induction, there are two cases. In the first case we can replace x_j by an element of the form $x_j-\sum_{\underline{k}}c_{\underline{k}}x_1^{k_1/p^{\alpha_1}}...x_{j-1}^{k_{j-1}/p^{\alpha_{j-1}}}$, where the sum is finite, and then we can assume that $\text{in}(\varphi(x_j))$ has no monomial of the form $cy_1^{k_1}...y_{j-1}^{k_{j-1}}$ where p^{α_i} divides k_i for all i. In the second case we can replace x_j by an element of the form $x_j-\sum_{\underline{k}}c_{\underline{k}}x_1^{k_1/p^{\alpha_1}}...x_{j-1}^{k_{j-1}/p^{\alpha_{j-1}}}$, where the sum is not necessarily finite, and then we have $\varphi(x_j)=0$.
If $\varphi(x_j)=0$, then we exchange x_n and x_j and we replace the $\Bbbk$-algebra $A=\Bbbk[[x_1,...,x_q]]$ by $\Bbbk[[x_1,...,x_{q-1}]]$. Then we replace q by $q-1$ and $\mu:=(\mu_1,...,\mu_q)=(\alpha_1,...,\alpha_{j-1},+\infty,...,+\infty)$.

Step 4: Assume that $\varphi(x_i)=y_i^{p^{\alpha_i}}u_i$, for $i<j$, for some units u_i with $\text{in}(u_i)=1$ and $u_i\notin A^p$ if $\alpha_i\neq 0$. Moreover we assume that $\alpha_1\leq\alpha_2\leq\cdots\leq\alpha_{j-1}$. As before we denote $\mu=(\alpha_1,...,\alpha_{j-1},+\infty,...,+\infty)$ and q is the dimension of A .
Let us choose x_j as we did at the Step 3. Let us consider $cy_1^{k_1}...y_m^{k_m}$ a monomial of $\text{in}(\varphi(x_j))$. If one of $k_j,...,k_m$ is different from zero, then after permutation of the elements $y_j,...,y_m$, we can assume that $k_j\neq 0$. From Step 3 we assume that $\text{in}(\varphi(x_j))$ has no monomial of the

form $cy_1^{k_1}...y_{j-1}^{k_{j-1}}$ where p^{α_i} divides k_i for all i, and we assume that $\varphi(x_1)\neq 0$.
Assume that for any non-zero monomial $M=cy_1^{k_1}...y_{j-1}^{k_{j-1}}$ of $\text{in}(\varphi(x_j))$, p^{α_i} divides k_i for any $i<l$, but for one such monomial p^{α_l} does not divide k_l. Let us denote by α the greatest power of p that divides $k_l,..., k_{j-1}$ for all non-zero monomials of $\text{in}(\varphi(x_j))$ of the form $cy_1^{k_1}...y_{j-1}^{k_{j-1}}$. So we have $\alpha<\alpha_l$. After a permutation of $y_l,..., y_{j-1}$, we can assume that there is a non-zero monomial of $\text{in}(\varphi(x_j))$ such that p^{α} divides k_l and $p^{\alpha+1}$ does not divide k_l. After a change of variables of the form $\sigma(y_i)=y_i$ for $i\leq l$ and $\sigma(y_i)=y_i+\sum_{k=1}^{l-1}c_{i,k}y_k$ for $i>l$ and for some $c_{i,k}\in\Bbbk$, we may assume that $\text{in}(\varphi(x_j))$ contains a non-zero monomial of the form $cy_1^{k_1}...y_l^{k_l}$ where p^{α} divides k_l but $p^{\alpha+1}$ does not divide k_l. Then after a composition with a homomorphism of the form $\psi(y_i)=y_i$ for $i\leq l$ and $y_i=y_1y_i$ for $i>l$, we can assume that all the monomials of $\text{in}(\varphi(x_j))$ depend only on $y_1,..., y_l$. And by Step 3, we may assume that for all the monomials $cy_1^{k_1}...y_l^{k_l}$ of $\text{in}(\varphi(x_j))$, k_i is divisible by p^{α_i} for any $i<l$, and that k_l is divisible by p^{α} but not by $p^{\alpha+1}$. Finally we can exchange x_j and x_l and we can apply the following lemma :

Lemma 4.4. *Under the hypothesis of theorem 4.3, assume that $\varphi(x_i)=y_i^{p^{\alpha_i}}$ for all $i<l$ and that the monomials of in$(\varphi(x_l))$ depend only on $y_1,..., y_l$. Assume moreover that for all the monomials $cy_1^{k_1}...y_l^{k_l}$ of in$(\varphi(x_l))$, k_i is divisible by p^{α_i} for any $i<l$, and that k_l is divisible by p^{α} but not by $p^{\alpha+1}$. Then there exists a finite sequence of modifications of φ, such that $\overline{\varphi}$, the last homomorphism of the sequence, satisfies*

$$\overline{\varphi}(x_i)=y_i^{p^{\alpha_i}}u_i \quad \textit{for } i<l,$$

$$\overline{\varphi}(x_l)=y_l^{p^{\alpha'}}u_l$$

for some units u_j and with $\alpha'\leq\alpha$.

Proof of lemma 4.4. We have $\varphi(x_l)=M_1v_1+\cdots+M_rv_r$ for some units v_i and some monomials M_i. We assume that this expression is minimal: it means that none of these monomials divides another one. The convex hull in $\mathbb{N}^m$ of the set of elements $(w_1,..., w_m)$ where $\text{in}(\varphi(x_l))$ contains a non-zero monomial of the form $cy_1^{w_1}...y_m^{w_m}$ is a convex polyhedron P of dimension strictly less than l (because all such elements satisfy $w_{l+1}=\cdots=w_m=0$). Let $(w_1,..., w_l, 0,..., 0)$ be a vertex of this polyhedron. We can assume that M_1 corresponds to this vertex. We denote by $(w_{1,k},..., w_{m,k})$ the element of $\mathbb{N}^m$ that corresponds to M_k for $k>1$. Because M_1 is a vertex of P the cone defined by the following equations in the variables e_i:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l}(w_{i,k}-w_i)e_i>0$$

for all k such that the monomial M_k depends only on $y_1,..., y_l$, is a non-empty open set of $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^m$. Moreover, using modifications by blowing-up on the variables $y_{l+1},..., y_m$, we can assume that the monomials M_k depending on at least one of $y_{l+1},...,, y_m$ satisfy $w_{1,k}+\cdots+w_{l,k}>d$. Hence the cone defined by the equations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l}(w_{i,k}-w_i)e_i>0, \quad k=2,..., r \tag{1}$$

is a non-empty open set of $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^l$. Let $(e_1,..., e_l)$ be l linearly independent vectors of this cone with coefficients in $\mathbb{N}$: we write $e_i=(e_{i,1},..., e_{i,l})$ for each i. We can choose these vectors such that their determinant is not divisible by p and such that p does not divide $e_{l,\,l}$. Next we consider ψ defined by:

$$\psi(y_i)=y_1^{e_{i,\,1}}...y_l^{e_{i,\,l}}\ \text{ for } 1\leq i\leq l$$

$$\psi(y_i)=y_i\ \text{ for } i>l.$$

Hence, because the vectors e_i satisfy (1), $\psi\circ\varphi(x_l)$ is of the form $\psi(M_1)u_l$ for some unit u_l. More precisely we have:

$$\psi\circ\varphi(x_i)=y_1^{p^{\alpha_i}e_{i,\,1}}...y_l^{p^{\alpha_i}e_{i,\,l}}u_i\ \text{ for } i<j$$

$$\psi\circ\varphi(x_l)=y_1^{\sum_{i=1}^{l}w_ie_{i,\,1}}...y_l^{\sum_{i=1}^{l}w_ie_{i,\,l}}u_l.$$

Because the vectors are linearly independant and because their determinant is not divisible by p, we can reduce to the following case by using modifications of type (4):

$$\psi\circ\varphi(x_i)=y_i^{p^{\alpha_i}}u_i\ \text{ for } i<l$$

$$\psi\circ\varphi(x_l)=y_l^{w_le_{l,\,l}\det(e_{i,\,k})}u_l$$

for some units u_i. And because $e_{l,\,l}$ and $\det(e_{i,\,k})$ are not divisible by p, according to the Cramer's rule and using Step 2, we can assume that:

$$\varphi(x_l)=y_l^{p^{\alpha'}}u'_l$$

where $\alpha'\leq\alpha$, because w_l is not divisible by $p^{\alpha+1}$. □

Finally, using Step 2, we can assume that

$$\varphi(x_i)=y_i^{p^{\alpha'_i}}u_i\ \text{ for } i\leq l,$$

for some units u_i, where $(\alpha'_1,...,\,\alpha'_l)<_{lex}(\alpha_1,...,\,\alpha_l)$ (this can be achieved by permuting the x_i and the y_i). Then, if we denote $\mu'=(\alpha'_1,...,\,\alpha'_l,\,+\infty,...,\,+\infty)$, we have $\mu>_{lex}\mu'$.

Step 5: Assume that we have $\varphi(x_i)=y_i^{p^{\alpha_i}}u_i$ for some units u_i with $\text{in}(u_i)=1$, where $u_i\notin B^p$ whenever $\alpha_i>0$, for any $i<j$. We assume that $\alpha_1\leq\alpha_2\leq\cdots\leq\alpha_{j-1}$ and we denote $q=\dim A$ and $\mu=(\alpha_1,...,\,\alpha_{j-1},\,+\infty,...,\,+\infty)$.
Let us denote by x_j an element of A such that $(x_1,...,\,x_j)$ is a subset of a regular system of parameters of A.
From Step 4, we can assume that none of the monomials of $\text{in}(\varphi(x_j))$ depends only on $y_1,...,\,y_{j-1}$. After a change of variables in $y_j,...,\,y_m$ we can assume that one of the monomials of $\text{in}(\varphi(x_j))$ depends only on $y_1,...,\,y_j$. By composing with the homomorphism ψ defined by

$$\psi(y_i)=y_i,\quad\text{for } i\leq j$$

$$\psi(y_i)=y_iy_j,\quad\text{for } i>j$$

we can assume that $\text{in}(\varphi(x_j))$ depends only on $y_1,...,\,y_j$, but all of its monomials depend on y_j. So we have $\text{in}(\varphi(x_j))=y_j^kP_{d-k}(y_1,...,\,y_j)$ where P_{d-k} is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k not divisible by y_j.
Thus, we can use lemma 4.4 and assume that

$$\varphi(x_i)=y_i^{\alpha_i}u_i\ \text{ for } i<j,$$

$$\varphi(x_j)=y_j^{\alpha}u_j$$

for some units u_i and some integer α. Eventually, using step 2, we can assume that $\varphi(x_i)=y_i^{p^{\alpha'_i}}u_j$ for some units u_i with $\text{in}(u_i)=1$ and $u_i\notin B^p$ if $\alpha'_i\neq 0$. Moreover we see that $(\alpha'_1,...,\,\alpha'_{j-1})\leq_{lex}(\alpha_1,...,\,\alpha_{j-1})$. Hence after a permutation the variables we can assume that $\alpha'_1 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha'_j$ and $\mu' = (\alpha'_1, ..., \alpha'_j, +\infty, ..., +\infty) < \mu$.

Step 6: Eventually, we have $\varphi(x_i) = y_i^{p^{\alpha_i}} u_i$, for $i \leq q$ and $\varphi(x_i) = 0$ for $i > q$, where $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_q$ and u_i are units. In this case one checks that

$$\frac{A_\nu}{m_\nu} = \Bbbk\left(\frac{x_q}{x_{q-1}^{p^{\alpha_q-\alpha_{q-1}}}}, ..., \frac{x_2}{x_1^{p^{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}}}\right),$$

and we have $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = q$. Because the geometric rank is invariant under modifications, we get the result. $\square$

Remark 4.5. *If* $\operatorname{char}\Bbbk = 0$*, the proof of the result of Eakin and Harris is similar. Namely, at Step 2 we get* $\varphi(x_1) = y_1$ *because any unit* u *with* $in(u) = 1$ *is a* d*-power for any* $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$*. Then we can skip Step 3 and 4 because if* $g(y_1, ..., y_{j-1}) := \varphi(x_j)(y_1, ..., y_{j-1}, 0, ..., 0)$*, then we replace* x_j *by* $x'_j = x_j - g(x_1, ..., x_{j-1})$ *and* $\varphi(x'_j)$ *has no monomial of the form* $cy_1^{k_1}...y_{j-1}^{k_{j-1}}$*.*

Corollary 4.6. *Let* $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ *be a homomorphism of local* $\Bbbk$*-algebras where* $\widehat{A}$ *is an integral domain,* B *is regular and* $\Bbbk$ *is perfect. Then* $grk\,\varphi \geq drk\,\varphi$*.*

Proof. It is clear from the definition that $\operatorname{drk}\varphi = \operatorname{drk}\widehat{\varphi}$. Moreover we know from lemma 3.3 that $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = \operatorname{grk}\widehat{\varphi}$. Then we can assume that A and B are complete. Using lemmas 2.6 and 3.4 we can replace A by $\Bbbk[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$. Because B is regular we can assume that $B = \Bbbk'[[y_1, ..., y_m]]$ where $\Bbbk \longrightarrow \Bbbk'$ is finite (it comes from the definition of a homomorphism of local $\Bbbk$-algebras). It comes from the definition that $\operatorname{drk}\varphi = \operatorname{drk}\varphi_{k'}$ where $\varphi_{\Bbbk'} : \Bbbk'[[x_1, ..., x_n]] \longrightarrow \Bbbk'[[y_1, ..., y_m]]$ is the homomorphism induced by φ. Eventually we know that $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = \operatorname{grk}\widehat{\varphi}$ (lemma 3.5). Then using lemma 4.2 and theorem 4.3 we have $\operatorname{drk}\varphi \leq \operatorname{grk}\varphi$. $\square$

Let us assume $\Bbbk$ is a perfect field. If $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = 1$, then we can modify φ such that $\varphi(x_1) = y_1^{p^\alpha} u$ for some unit u (with $u = 1$ if $\alpha = 0$ and $u \notin B^p$ if $\alpha > 0$) and $\varphi(x_i) = 0$ for $i > 1$, and then $\operatorname{drk}\varphi = 1$. It is clear that if $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = 0$ then $\operatorname{drk}\varphi = 0$. In particular, if $\dim A = 1$ we have $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = \operatorname{drk}\varphi$. If $\dim A > 1$, the situation is different as the following example shows:

Example 4.7. *Let* $\varphi : A := \Bbbk[[x_1, x_2]] \longrightarrow \Bbbk[[y_1, y_2, y_3]]$ *the* $\Bbbk$*-homomorphism defined by*

$$(\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_2)) := (y_1^{p^\alpha}(1+y_3), y_2^{p^\beta}(1+y_3^{p+1}))$$

with $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$*. Then we have* $grk\,\varphi = 2$*. If* $f = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} x_1^i x_2^j \in \varphi^{-1}(B^p)$ *then we have*

$$\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} y_1^{ip^\alpha} y_2^{jp^\beta}(1+y_3)^i(1+y_3^{p+1})^j \in B^p.$$

In particular, by looking at the coefficient of $y_1^{ip^\alpha} y_2^{jp^\beta}$*, we see that*

$$a_{i,j}(1+y_3)^i(1+y_3^{p+1})^j \in B^p, \qquad \forall i, j.$$

Then the coefficient of y_3 *in the last expression is* $ia_{i,j}$ *and is equal to 0 because* $f \in B^p$*. So* $a_{i,j} = 0$ *if* $i \notin (p)$*. Now we assume that* $i \in (p)$ *and look at the coefficient of* y_3^{p+1} *in the last expression. This coefficient is* $ja_{i,j}$ *hence* $a_{i,j} = 0$ *if* $j \notin (p)$*. Thus we see that* $\varphi^{-1}(B^p) = A^p$*. Hence* $drk\,\varphi = rank_B\left(B\varphi^1(\overline{\Omega}_{\Bbbk}^1(A))\right)$*. Then we deduce that* $drk\,\varphi = 1$ *because* $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$*, thus* $drk\,\varphi < grk\,\varphi$*.*

We see here that the definition of differential rank avoids dealing with the fact that some *elements* $f \in A$ *can satisfy* $f \notin A^p$ *and* $\varphi(f) \in B^p$*, but it does not avoid the fact that some elements* $f \in A$ *can satisfy* $in(f) \notin (Gr_{\mathfrak{m}_A} A)^p$ *and* $in(\varphi(f)) \in (Gr_{\mathfrak{m}_B} B)^p$*.*

Definition 4.8. *Let* $\varphi : \mathbb{k}[[x_1, ..., x_n]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}[[y_1, ..., y_m]]$*. We say that* φ *can be monomialized if there exists an admissible sequence of homomorphisms as in theorem 4.3 such that* $\alpha_i = 0$ *for all* i*. An admissible sequence as in theorem 4.3 is called a quasi-monomialization of* φ*. If for all* i $\alpha_i = 0$*, we call such a sequence a monomialization of* φ*.*

Corollary 4.9. *Let* $\varphi : \mathbb{k}[[x_1, ..., x_n]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}[[y_1, ..., y_m]]$ *where* $\mathbb{k}$ *is perfect. If* φ *can be monomialized, then* $grk\,\varphi = drk\,\varphi$*.*

5. Linear Chevalley's lemma

The aim of this section (and originally of the present paper) is to give an answer to a question that S. Izumi asked the author. This question is related to the following result of C. Chevalley on complete local rings:

Theorem 5.1. [Ch] *Let* A *be a complete local ring with maximal ideal* $\mathfrak{m}$*. Let* $(\mathfrak{a}_n)$ *be a decreasing sequence of ideals of* A *such that* $\cap_n \mathfrak{a}_n = \{0\}$*. Then there is a function* $\beta : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $\mathfrak{a}_{\beta(n)} \subset \mathfrak{m}^n$ *for any natural number* n*.*

In particular, if we consider an injective homomorphism of local rings $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ where A is complete, there is a function $\beta : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_B^{\beta(n)}) \subset \mathfrak{m}_A^n$ for any natural number n if and only if φ is injective (by Nakayama's lemma). This can be restated by saying that $\beta(\nu_{\mathfrak{m}_A}(f)) \geq \nu_{\mathfrak{m}_B}(\varphi(f))$ for any $f \in A$. If β is bounded from above by a linear function we say that φ has a linear Chevalley estimate.
S. Izumi (in [Iz3] and [Iz5]), in the case of equicharacteristic zero local rings, proved that φ has a linear Chevalley estimate if and only if $\text{grk}\,\varphi = \dim A$. The question asked by S. Izumi was the following: is it possible to extend this result for local $\mathbb{k}$-algebras with char $(\mathbb{k}) > 0$? We can state such a result analogous of the main result of [Iz3] in positive characteristic :

Theorem 1.1. Let $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of local $\mathbb{k}$-algebras where $\mathbb{k}$ is a field of positive characteristic. Assume that $\widehat{A}$ is an integral domain and B is regular. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

i) $\text{grk}\,\varphi = \dim A$.
ii) There is $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a\nu_{\mathfrak{m}_A}(f) + b \geq \nu_{\mathfrak{m}_B}(\varphi(f))$ for any $f \in A$.

In order to give a proof of this theorem, we first state the following lemma due to S. Izumi:

Lemma 5.2. [Iz1] *Let* $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ *and* $\sigma : A' \longrightarrow A$ *be two homomorphisms of local rings where* σ *is finite and injective and* $\widehat{A}$ *is an integral domain. Then* φ *satisfies* ii) *if and only if* $\varphi \circ \sigma$ *satisfies* ii)*.*

Proof. Because σ is injective, if φ satisfies *ii)* then $\varphi \circ \sigma$ satisfies *ii)*. It remains to prove the "if"-part.
Assume that $\varphi \circ \sigma$ satisfies *ii)* with the constants a and b. Using a generalisation by D. Rees of a theorem of S. Izumi [Re] and the fact that $\widehat{A}$ is an integral domain, there exist two positive constants c, d such that

$$\nu_A(fg) \leq c(\nu_A(f) + \nu_A(g)) + d, \ \forall f, g \in A.$$

We define the following sequence of linear functions :

$$\lambda_0(u) := au + b, \quad \lambda_{i+1}(u) := ac(u + \lambda_i(u)) + b + d, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We will prove by induction on r that

$$\forall f \in A, h_i \in A' \quad \text{if } \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \nu_B(\varphi(f)) \geq \lambda_r(u) \\ \nu_A(f^{r+1} + h_1 f^r + \cdots + h_{r+1}) \geq \lambda_r(u) \end{array} \right. \tag{2}$$

$$\text{then } \nu_A(f) \geq u.$$

Assume that it is proved for $r < s$. Let $f \in A$ and $h_i \in A'$. If $\nu_B(\varphi(f)) \geq \lambda_s(u)$ and $\nu_A(f^{s+1} + h_1 f^s + \cdots + h_{s+1}) \geq \lambda_s(u)$, then

$$\nu_A\left(f^{s+1} + h_1 f^s + \cdots + h_s f\right) + \nu_A(h_{s+1}) \geq \lambda_s(u).$$

By hypothesis we have

$$a\nu_A(h_{s+1}) + b \geq a\nu_{A'}(h_{s+1}) + b \geq \nu_B(\varphi \circ \sigma(h_{s+1})) \geq \lambda_s(u).$$

Then we get

$$\nu_A\left(f(f^s + h_1 f^{s-1} + \cdots + h_s)\right) \geq \frac{\lambda_s(u) - b}{a}.$$

If $s = 0$, then $\nu_A(f) \geq u$. If $s > 0$, we have

$$c\left(\nu_A(f) + \nu_A(f^s + h_1 f^{s-1} + \cdots + h_s)\right) + d \geq \frac{\lambda_s(u) - b}{a}.$$

Hence either $\nu_A(f) \geq u$, or $\nu_A(f^s + h_1 f^{s-1} + \cdots + h_s) \geq \lambda_{s-1}(u)$. Thus (2) is proved by induction. Since σ is finite, there exists a natural number k such that any $f \in A$ satisfies an equation of the form

$$f^k + h_1 f^{k-1} + \cdots + h_k = 0$$

for some $h_i \in A'$. Hence it is enough to choose $a'u + b' = \lambda_{k-1}(u)$, and φ satisfies *ii)* with the constants a' and b'. □

Now we can begin the proof of theorem 1.1. We will first reduce to the case A and B are complete. We remark that for any $f \in A$ we have $\nu_{\mathfrak{m}_A}(f) = \nu_{\mathfrak{m}_{\widehat{A}}}(f)$ because $\widehat{A}$ is flat over A. So the order is invariant under completion. The Krull dimension and the geometric rank are also invariant under completion. Moreover, the inequality *ii)* of the main theorem is equivalent to a similar estimate for $\widehat{\varphi} : \widehat{A} \longrightarrow \widehat{B}$ following remark 4.4 of [Iz1].

From now on we assume that A and B are complete and $\varphi = \widehat{\varphi}$. Then we show that *i)* and *ii)* are always true if $\dim(A) = 0$: $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = \dim(A)$ is trivially true because $\operatorname{grk}\varphi \leq \dim(A) = 0$. So *i)* is true. In particular, using lemma 3.3, φ is injective. On the other hand A is artinian and so the following descending chain of ideals stabilises: $A \supset \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_B) \supset \ldots \supset \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_B^n) \supset \ldots$. So there exists b such that $\nu_{\mathfrak{m}_B}(\varphi(f)) \leq b$ for any $f \in A \backslash \operatorname{Ker}\varphi = A \backslash \{0\}$, and *ii)* is true.

From now on we assume that A and B are complete, B is regular and $\dim(A) \geq 1$. In particular $B = \mathbb{k}'[[y_1, ..., y_m]]$ where $\mathbb{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}'$ is finite (it comes from the definition of a homomorphism of local $\mathbb{k}$-algebras).

Step 1: Assume that $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{k}'$.

(I) Implication $ii) \Longrightarrow i)$.
We can reduce to the case A is regular by using lemma 3.4.
Using lemma 4.2 and theorem 4.3 we can assume that φ is a homomorphism from $\mathbb{k}[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$ to $\mathbb{k}[[y_1, ..., y_m]]$ and $\varphi(x_i) = y_i^{p^{\alpha_i}} u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \operatorname{grk}\varphi$ and $\varphi(x_i) = 0$ if $i > \operatorname{grk}\varphi$. It is obvious from the definition of the geometric rank that $\operatorname{grk}\varphi \leq \min\{n, m\}$.
By assumption there are a and b such that $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_B^{ak+b}) \subset \mathfrak{m}_A^k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. So, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can define surjective $\mathbb{k}$-linear maps

$$\varphi(A)/\left(\mathfrak{m}_B^{ak+b} \cap \varphi(A)\right) \longrightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}_A^k$$

by choosing a lifting in $A/\mathfrak{m}_A^k$ of any element of $\varphi(A)/\left(\mathfrak{m}_B^{ak+b} \cap \varphi(A)\right)$.
Because $\varphi(A)/\left(\mathfrak{m}_B^{ak+b} \cap \varphi(A)\right)$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-subspace of $B/\mathfrak{m}_B^{ak+b}$, we have the following equalities and inequalities for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(ak+b+m-1)!/\left((ak+b-1)!\, m!\right) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} B/\mathfrak{m}_B^{ak+b}$$

$$\geq \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \varphi(A)/\left(\mathfrak{m}_B^{ak+b} \cap \varphi(A)\right) \geq \dim_k A/\mathfrak{m}_A^k = (n+k-1)!/\left((k-1)!\, n!\right)$$

Hence, by comparing the degree in k of these two polynomials, we get $m \geq n$.
If $\operatorname{grk}\varphi < n$, then using theorem 4.3, we can assume that $\varphi(x_n) = 0$ and hence $x_n \in \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_B^{ak+b})$ for any k. This is impossible by assumption so we get $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = n$.

(II) Implication $i) \Longrightarrow ii)$.
First of all we can assume that A is regular by using lemma 3.4 and lemma 5.2.
Using theorem 4.3, we can assume that $\varphi(x_i) = y_i^{p^{\alpha_i}} u_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \operatorname{grk}\varphi$ and $\varphi(x_i) = 0$ if $i > \operatorname{grk}\varphi$. In this case statement $ii)$ is obvious by taking $a = \max_i p^{\alpha_i}$ and $b = 0$.

Step 2: Assume that $\mathbb{k} \neq \mathbb{k}'$. Using lemma 3.4 and the Cohen's theorem (for example corollary 31.6 of [Na]), we can find a injective finite homomorphism of $\mathbb{k}$-algebras $\sigma : A' \longrightarrow A$ such that $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = \operatorname{grk}(\varphi \circ \sigma)$ and such that A' is regular. By lemma 5.2 we can replace A by A'. So we assume that $A = \mathbb{k}[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$ and $B = \mathbb{k}'[[y_1, ..., y_m]]$. We denote $A_{\mathbb{k}'}$ the $\mathbb{k}'$-algebra $A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}' = \mathbb{k}'[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$. We denote $\varphi_{\mathbb{k}'}$ the homomorphism $A_{\mathbb{k}'} \longrightarrow B$ induced by φ. Because $\mathbb{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}'$ is finite, then $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = \operatorname{grk}\varphi_{\mathbb{k}'}$ by lemma 3.5. Using lemma 5.4 [Iz3], we see that φ satisfies $ii)$ if and only if $\varphi_{\mathbb{k}'}$ satisfies $ii)$. Then the result follows from Step 1. $\square$

Finally, following W. F. Osgood [Os] and S. S. Abhyankar [Ab1], we give an example of the construction of injective homomorphisms of local rings for which the growth of the function β is greater than a given increasing function α :

Example 5.3. *Let $\alpha : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a increasing function and $\mathbb{k}$ be a field. Let $(n_i)_i$ be a sequence of natural numbers such that $n_{i+1} > \alpha(n_i)$ for any i and such that the element $\xi(Y) := \sum_{i\geq 1} Y^{n_i}$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{k}(Y)$ (such an element exists according to the constructive proof of lemma 1 in* [ML-S]*). Let us define the homomorphism $\varphi : A := \mathbb{k}[[x_1, x_2, x_3]] \longrightarrow B := \mathbb{k}[[y_1, y_2]]$ by*

$$(\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_2), \varphi(x_3)) = (y_1, y_1y_2, y_1\xi(y_2)).$$

Because $1, y_2, \xi(y_2)$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{k}$, φ is injective (cf. part 1 of [Ab1]*): indeed, let $f \in Ker\varphi$. We write $f = \sum_d f_d$, where f_d is a homogeneous poynomial of degree d. Then $\varphi(f) = \sum y_1^d f_d(1, y_2, \xi(y_2)) = 0$. Hence, we have $f_d(1, y_2, \xi(y_2)) = 0$ for all d.*

This implies that $f_d = 0$ *for all* d *because* 1, y_2, $\xi(y_2)$ *are algebraically independant.*
For any positive natural number i *we define:*

$$f_i := x_1^{n_i-1}x_3 - \left(x_2^{n_1}x_1^{n_i-n_1} + \cdots + x_2^{n_{i-1}}x_1^{n_i-n_{i-1}} + x_2^{n_i}\right).$$

Then we get:

$$\varphi(f_i) = y_1^{n_i}\xi(y_2) - y_1^{n_i}\sum_{k=1}^{i} y_2^{n_k} \in \mathfrak{m}_B^{n_i+n_{i+1}} \subset \mathfrak{m}_B^{\alpha(n_i)}$$

But $f_i \notin \mathfrak{m}_A^{n_i+1}$ *thus* $\beta(n_i+1) > \alpha(n_i)$ *where* β *is the function above associated to* φ. *Because* $n_i \longrightarrow +\infty$ *when* $i \longrightarrow +\infty$, *we get* $\limsup \frac{\beta(n)}{\alpha(n)} \geq 1$.

6. Henselian and analytic $\Bbbk$-algebras

In this part and the next one, we study two particular examples of local $\Bbbk$-algebras: namely the henselian and analytic $\Bbbk$-algebras. In particular we have been inspired by the work of S. S. Abhyankar and M. van der Put [A-vdP] on analytic $\Bbbk$-algebras.

6.1. **Terminology.** From now on we assume that $\Bbbk$ is a field of any characteristic. Let $\Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$ be the henselization of the localization of $\Bbbk[x_1, ..., x_n]$ by the maximal ideal $(x_1, ..., x_n)$. This is a subring of $\Bbbk[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$: $\Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$ is the ring of elements of $\Bbbk[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$ algebraic over $\Bbbk[x_1, ..., x_n]_{(x)}$. The elements of $\Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$ are called *algebraic power series*. An *henselian* $\Bbbk$*-algebra* A is a local $\Bbbk$-algebra for which there exists an injective and finite morphism of local $\Bbbk$-algebras: $\pi : \Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle \longrightarrow A$. In particular any local $\Bbbk$-algebra A such that there exists a surjective $\Bbbk$-homomorphism $\Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle \longrightarrow A$ is an henselian $\Bbbk$-algebra. Thus, an henselian $\Bbbk$-algebra is exactly a local $\Bbbk$-algebra A such that these exists a $\Bbbk$-subalgebra A_0 of A such that $A_0 \longrightarrow A$ is finite and there is surjective local $\Bbbk$-homomorphism $\Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle \longrightarrow A_0$. The reader should notice that our definition of an henselian algebra is not the definition used usually (cf. [Na] for example).
The reader can remark that if $\Bbbk \longrightarrow \Bbbk'$ is a finite extension of fields, then $\Bbbk' \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$ is not necessarily isomorphic to $\Bbbk'\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$. The same remark can be done for analytic $\Bbbk$-algebras ([Be1]).
A homomorphism of local $\Bbbk$-algebras $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ is called a *homomorphism of henselian* $\Bbbk$*-algebras* if A and B are henselian $\Bbbk$-algebras .
Assume now that $\Bbbk$ is a valued field of any characteristic. Let $\Bbbk\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ denote the ring of convergent power series in n indeterminates with coefficients in $\Bbbk$. In the same way as for henselian $\Bbbk$-algebras, an *analytic* $\Bbbk$*-algebra* A is a local $\Bbbk$-algebra for which there exists an injective and finite homomorphism of local $\Bbbk$-algebras: $\pi : \Bbbk\{x_1, ..., x_n\} \longrightarrow A$. An *analytic local ring over* $\Bbbk$ is a local $\Bbbk$-algebra A such that there exists a surjective $\Bbbk$-homomorphism $\Bbbk\{x_1, ..., x_n\} \longrightarrow A$.
A homomorphism of local $\Bbbk$-algebras $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ is called a *homomorphism of analytic* $\Bbbk$*-algebras* if A and B are analytic $\Bbbk$-algebras. For more properties of these $\Bbbk$-algebras confer to [A-vdP].
A given homomorphism $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ of henselian or analytic $\Bbbk$-algebras is *strongly injective* if the map $\widehat{A}/A \longrightarrow \widehat{B}/B$ induced by φ is injective (or equivalently if $\widehat{\varphi}^{-1}(B) = A$).

6.2. **Weierstrass preparation theorem.** First we give the following version of the Weierstrass preparation theorem:

Proposition 6.1. *Weierstrass preparation theorem*
Let A and B be henselian or analytic local rings and $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ a homomorphism of henselian or analytic $\Bbbk$-algebras. Then φ is finite if and only if φ is quasi-finite (i.e. $B/\mathfrak{m}_A B$ is finite over $A/\mathfrak{m}_A$).

Proof. The result for analytic $\Bbbk$-algebras comes from lemma 1.3 [A-vdP]. So from now on let assume that A and B are henselian $\Bbbk$-algebras. The result comes from the following lemma:

Lemma 6.2. *Let $f \in \Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$, assume that $f(0, ..., 0, x_n) \neq 0$. Let us denote $d := ord_{x_n} f(0, ..., 0, x_n)$. Then for any $g \in \Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$ there is a unique $q \in \Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$ and a unique $r \in \Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_{n-1}\rangle[x_n]$ with $deg_{x_n} r < d$ such that $g = qf + r$.*

Proof of the lemma 6.2. From the Weierstrass preparation theorem for formal power series, we know that there exist unique elements $\overline{q} \in \Bbbk[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$ and $\overline{r} \in \Bbbk[[x_1, ..., x_{n-1}]][x_n]$ with $\deg_{x_n} \overline{r} < d$ such that $g = \overline{q}f + \overline{r}$. We consider the polynomial equation

$$F(Q, R_i) := fQ + R_0 + x_n R_1 + \cdots + x_n^{d-1} R_{d-1} - g = 0$$

where $F(Q, R_i) \in \Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle[Q, R_1, ..., R_{d-1}]$. From the formal Weierstrass preparation theorem, there is a formal solution to this equation, namely:

$$(\overline{q}, \overline{r}_0, ..., \overline{r}_{d-1}) \in \Bbbk[[x_1, ..., x_n]] \times \Bbbk[[x_1, ..., x_{n-1}]]^d$$

such that $\overline{r} = \overline{r}_0 + \overline{r}_1 x_n + \cdots + \overline{r}_{d-1} x_n^{d-1}$. Then using the nested Artin approximation theorem (cf. [Sp2] theorem 11.4 and remark 11.6 (2)), we know that there exist $(q, r_0, ..., r_{d-1}) \in \Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle \times \Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_{n-1}\rangle^d$ such that $F(q, r_i) = 0$. If we define $r := r_0 + r_1 x_n + \cdots + r_{d-1} x_n^{d-1}$, then we have $g = qf + r$. So q and r satisfy the lemma. Moreover, because $\Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle \subset \Bbbk[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$ and because $\overline{q}$ and $\overline{r}$ are unique, we see that $q = \overline{q}$ and $r = \overline{r}$ and the elements q and r are unique. □

It is well known that lemma 6.2 is equivalent to the proposition when there exist surjective homomorphisms $\Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle \longrightarrow A$ and $\Bbbk\langle y_1, ..., y_m\rangle \longrightarrow B$ ([To1] or [Ab2] for example). To prove the general case, we can follow the proof of lemma 1.3 of [A-vdP] and lemma 2.4 of [A-M-vdP] where only the analogous of lemma 6.2 for analytic local rings is used. □

Corollary 6.3. *Let $f \in \Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$. Assume that $f(0, ..., 0, x_n) \neq 0$. Let us denote $d := ord_{x_n} f(0, ..., 0, x_n)$. Then there exist a unit $u \in \Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$ and elements $a_i \in \Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_{n-1}\rangle$ with $a_i(0) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ such that*

$$f = u(a_d x_n^d + a_{d-1} x_n^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0).$$

Proof. It is well known that this is equivalent to lemma 6.2 (see for example [To1] or [Ab2]). □

Remark 6.4. *Lemma 6.2 is often called the Weierstrass division theorem. There exists a generalization of this one, called the Grauert-Hironaka division theorem, valid in the analytic case in all generality and valid in the henselian case with some additional hypothesis (cf.* [Hi]*).*

Corollary 6.5. *Let A be a regular henselian (resp. analytic) $\Bbbk$-algebra and let $(a_1, ..., a_n)$ be a regular system of parameters of A. Let $\Bbbk'$ be the coefficient field of A. The extension $\Bbbk \longrightarrow \Bbbk'$ is finite by definition. Let $\varphi : \Bbbk' \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Bbbk\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle \longrightarrow A$ (resp. $\varphi : \Bbbk' \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Bbbk\{x_1, ..., x_n\} \longrightarrow A$) be the unique homomorphism of local $\Bbbk'$-algebras such that $\varphi(x_i) = a_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then φ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Follows from proposition 6.1. ☐

6.3. **Strongly injective homomorphisms.** We now state the following results about homomorphisms of henselian or analytic $\mathbb{k}$-algebras:

Lemma 6.6. *(*[A-vdP] *lemma 2.1.2) Let φ be a homomorphism of henselian or analytic $\mathbb{k}$-algebras. If φ is injective and finite, then $\widehat{\varphi}$ is injective and finite and φ is strongly injective.*

Proof. Henselian and analytic $\mathbb{k}$-algebras are Zariski rings (cf. theorem 9, chapter VIII of [Z-S]). Then, using theorem 5 and 11 chapter VIII of [Z-S], we see that $\widehat{\varphi}$ is finite and injective. Then using theorem 15 chapter of [Z-S], we see that φ is strongly injective. ☐

Let $\mathbb{k}$ be a field (resp. a valued field) of any characteristic and $\mathbb{k}'$ be a finite extension field (resp. valued field) of $\mathbb{k}$. Let $A = \mathbb{k}' \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$ or $A = \mathbb{k}' \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$, and $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$. We define the local $\mathbb{k}$-homomorphism $q_k \ : \ A \longrightarrow A$ by $q_k(x_i) = x_i$ for $i \leq k$ and $q_k(x_i) = x_k x_i$ for $i > k$. For any $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we define the local $\mathbb{k}$-homomorphism $\psi_d \ : \ A \longrightarrow A$ by $\psi_d(x_1) = x_1^d$ and $\psi_d(x_i) = x_i$ for $i \neq 1$.

Lemma 6.7. *(*[A-vdP] *lemma 2.2.1) Let A denote the henselian $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $\mathbb{k}' \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$ (resp. the analytic $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $\mathbb{k}' \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$). Any composition of $\mathbb{k}$-automorphisms of A and of homomorphisms of the form q_k and ψ_d is injective and strongly injective.*

Proof. This lemma has been proved for analytic $\mathbb{k}$-algebras in [A-vdP] lemma 2.2.1.
For henselian $\mathbb{k}$-algebras, it is clear that $\mathbb{k}$-automorphisms, homomorphisms ψ_d and q_k are injective. Moreover it is clear that $\mathbb{k}$-automorphisms are strongly injective. Hence, because any composition of injective and strongly injective homomorphisms is injective and strongly injective, we only need to prove that homomorphisms ψ_d and q_k are strongly injective.
Let us start with ψ_d. Let $g = f(x_1^d, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{k}' \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, .., x_n\rangle$. Let $(\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_r)$ be a $\mathbb{k}$-basis of $\mathbb{k}'$. There exist $f_l \in \mathbb{k}[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$ for $1 \leq l \leq r$ such that

$$f_l(x_1^d, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, .., x_n\rangle$$

$$\text{and } g = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \varepsilon_l f_l(x_1^d, x_2, ..., x_n).$$

Let us consider f_1 for example. Let us denote $g_1 := f_1(x_1^d, x_2, ..., x_n)$. There exist $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{k}[x_1, ..., x_n]_{(x)}$ for $0 \leq i \leq s$ such that

$$a_s g_1^s + \cdots + a_1 g_1 + a_0 = 0. \tag{3}$$

For each i, we write $a_i = \sum_\alpha a_{i,\,\alpha} x_1^{\alpha_1} ... x_n^{\alpha_n}$ with $a_{i,\,\alpha} \in \mathbb{k}$. Then we define $a_i' = \sum_\alpha a_{i,\,\alpha} x_1^{\alpha_1} ... x_n^{\alpha_n}$ where the sum is taken over the $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that d divides α_1. After multiplying relation (3) by some power of x_1, we can assume that at least one of the a_i''s is non zero. We define $a_i'' = a_i - a_i'$. Then, for any non-zero monomial $c x_1^{\alpha_1} ... x_n^{\alpha_n}$ of $a_s'' g_1^s + \cdots + a_1'' g_1 + a_0'' = 0$, d does not divide α_1. Moreover, for any non-zero monomial $c x_1^{\alpha_1} ... x_n^{\alpha_n}$ of $a_s' g_1^s + \cdots + a_1' g_1 + a_0'$, d divides α_1. Then we see that $a_s' g_1^s + \cdots + a_1' g_1 + a_0' = 0$. Let $b_i \in \mathbb{k}[x_1, ..., x_n]_{(x)}$ such that $\psi_d(b_i) = a_i'$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. At least one of the b_i's is non zero. Then we have $b_s f_1^s + \cdots + b_1 f_1 + b_0 = 0$ and $f_1 \in \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, .., x_n\rangle$. We can do the same for all the f_l's. Hence $f \in \mathbb{k}' \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$ and ψ_d is strongly injective.
Now we prove that q_k is strongly injective. Let $f \in \mathbb{k}'[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$. We have $f = \sum f_l \varepsilon_l$ where $f_l \in \mathbb{k}[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$ for $1 \leq l \leq r$. Assume that $q_k(f) \in \mathbb{k}' \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$. It is clear that $q_k(f_l) \in \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$ for $1 \leq l \leq r$. It is enough to prove that if $f \in \mathbb{k}[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$ satisfies $q_k(f) \in \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$ then $f \in \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle$. So let $f \in \mathbb{k}[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$ such that

$$g := f(x_1, ..., x_k, x_k x_{k+1}, ..., x_k x_n) \in \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle.$$

There exist $s\in\mathbb{N}$ and $a_i\in\Bbbk[x_1,...,x_n]_{(x)}$ for $0\leq i\leq s$ such that

$$a_sg^s+\cdots+a_1g+a_0=0. \tag{4}$$

We write $a_i=\sum_\alpha a_{i,\alpha}x_1^{\alpha_1}...x_n^{\alpha_n}$ for any i with $a_{i,\alpha}\in\Bbbk$. Multiplying relation (4) by some power of x_k, we can assume that any $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$ such that $a_{i,\alpha}\neq 0$ satisfies $\alpha_k\geq\alpha_{k+1}+\cdots+\alpha_n$. Then there exist $b_i\in\Bbbk[x_1,...,x_n]_{(x)}$ such that $q_k(b_i)=a_i$ for $0\leq i\leq s$. We have $b_sf^s+\cdots+b_1f+b_0=0$, hence $f\in\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\langle x_1,...,x_n\rangle$ and q_k is strongly injective. $\square$

Lemma 6.8. *([A-vdP] lemma 2.1.3) Let $\varphi: A\longrightarrow B$ and $\varphi': B\longrightarrow C$ be homomorphisms of henselian or analytic $\Bbbk$-algebras. If $\varphi'\circ\varphi$ is strongly injective then φ is strongly injective.*

Proof. Follows from the definitions. $\square$

Lemma 6.9. *Let $\varphi:\Bbbk\langle x_1,...,x_n\rangle\longrightarrow\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\langle y_1,...,y_m\rangle$ (resp. $\varphi:\Bbbk\{x_1,...,x_n\}\longrightarrow\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\{y_1,...,y_m\}$) where $\Bbbk\longrightarrow\Bbbk'$ is a finite extension of fields. Let $\varphi_{\Bbbk'}$ denote the induced homomorphism of analytic $\Bbbk'$-algebras : $\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\{x_1,...,x_n\}\longrightarrow\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\{y_1,...,y_m\}$. Then $grk\,\varphi=grk\,\varphi_{\Bbbk'}$.*

Proof. By lemma 3.2, we can replace the henselian or analytic algebras by their completions. Then the result comes from the fact that $\Bbbk[[x_1,...,x_n]]\longrightarrow\Bbbk'[[x_1,...,x_n]]$ is finite and lemma 3.4. $\square$

Theorem 4.3 is still valid for henselian and analytic $\Bbbk$-algebras:

Theorem 6.10. *Let $\Bbbk$ be a field (resp. a valued field) of any characteristic and $\Bbbk'$ a finite field extension of $\Bbbk$. Let us consider an homomorphism $\varphi: A\longrightarrow B$, where $A=\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\langle x_1,...,x_n\rangle$ and $B=\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\langle y_1,...,y_m\rangle$ (resp. $A=\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\{x_1,...,x_n\}$ and $B=\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\{y_1,...,x_m\}$). Then there exists an admissible finite sequence of homomorphisms $(\varphi_i)_{i=0}^k:\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\langle x_1,...,x_n\rangle\longrightarrow\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\langle y_1,...,y_m\rangle$ (resp. $(\varphi_i)_i:\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\{x_1,...,x_n\}\longrightarrow\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\{y_1,...,y_m\}$) such that $\varphi_0=\varphi$. The last homomorphism φ_k satisfies*

$$\varphi_k(x_i)=y_i^{p^{\alpha_i}}u_i\ \text{ for some units } u_i \text{ if } char\Bbbk=p>0$$
$$or\ \ \varphi_k(x_i)=y_i\ \text{ if } char\Bbbk=0, \text{ for } i\leq grk\,\varphi$$
$$and\ \varphi_k(x_i)=0\ \text{ for } i>grk\,\varphi.$$

Moreover, if $char\Bbbk=p>0$, for any i, $u_i=1$ whenever $\alpha_i=0$, and $in(u_i)=1$ and $u_i\notin B^p$ whenever $\alpha_i>0$.

Proof. Modifications of types (2) and (4) are algebraic and analytic. The Steps 0, 1, 4 and 5 involve only $\Bbbk$-automorphisms of $\Bbbk'[[x_1,...,x_n]]$ and of $\Bbbk'[[y_1,...,y_n]]$ that are defined by polynomials. For Steps 2, 4 and 5, using modifications of type (3), we allow to take d-powers of algebraic power series (resp. convergent power series) and they are still algebraic power series (resp. convergent power series). The only problem can occur at Step 3, where we replace x_j by an element of the form $x_j':=x_j-\sum_{\underline{k}}c_{\underline{k}}x_1^{k_1}...x_{j-1}^{k_{j-1}}$ such that $\varphi(x_j')=0$ because we do not know if x_j' is algebraic (resp. convergent). When $\mathrm{char}\,\Bbbk=0$ this is obvious because $\varphi(x_i)=y_i$ for $1\leq i\leq j-1$ by assumption (see remark 4.5).
From now on we assume that $\mathrm{char}\,\Bbbk=p>0$. We assume that $A=\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\langle x_1,...,x_n\rangle$ (resp. $A=\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\{x_1,...,x_n\}$) and $B=\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\langle y_1,...,y_m\rangle$ (resp. $B=\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\{y_1,...,y_m\}$) and we will prove that $x_j'\in A$. We will use the following fact:

Lemma 6.11. *Assume that $char\Bbbk=p>0$. Let us consider $\varphi:\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\langle x_1,...,x_{j-1}\rangle\longrightarrow\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\langle y_1,...,y_m\rangle$ (resp. $\varphi:\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\{x_1,...,x_{j-1}\}\longrightarrow\Bbbk'\otimes_\Bbbk\Bbbk\{y_1,...,y_m\}$) such that for any i we have $\varphi(x_i)=y_i^{p^{\alpha_i}}u_i$ for some units u_i. Then φ is strongly injective.*

In particular, because $\varphi(x_j)=\varphi\left(\sum_{\underline{k}} c_{\underline{k}} x_1^{k_1}...x_{j-1}^{k_{j-1}}\right)\in \mathbb{k}'\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\langle y_1,...,y_m\rangle$ (resp. $\mathbb{k}'\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\{y_1,...,y_m\}$), we see that

$$\sum_{\underline{k}} c_{\underline{k}} x_1^{k_1}...x_{j-1}^{k_{j-1}}\in \mathbb{k}'\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\langle y_1,...,y_m\rangle$$

(resp. $\mathbb{k}'\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\{x_1,...,x_{j-1}\}$), and so $x'_j\in\mathbb{k}'\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\langle y_1,...,y_m\rangle$ (resp. $\mathbb{k}'\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\{x_1,...,x_j\}$). ☐

Now we give the proof of the lemma:

Proof of lemma 6.11. We give the proof in the case of analytic $\mathbb{k}$-algebras, the case of henselian $\mathbb{k}$-algebras being exactly the same. Let π denote the projection homomorphism $\mathbb{k}'\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\{y_1,...,y_m\}\longrightarrow\mathbb{k}'\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\{y_1,...,y_{j-1}\}$. Then the homomorphism induced by $\pi\circ\varphi$:

$$\mathbb{k}'\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\{x_1,...,x_{j-1}\}/(x_1,...,x_{j-1})\longrightarrow\mathbb{k}'\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\{y_1,...,y_{j-1}\}/(\pi\circ\varphi((x_1,...,x_{j-1})))$$

is finite. Using proposition 6.1, we see that $\pi\circ\varphi$ is finite. Moreover, $\pi\circ\varphi$ is injective because $\mathrm{grk}\,(\pi\circ\varphi)=j-1$. Using lemma 6.6,we see that $\pi\circ\varphi$ is strongly injective, and from lemma 6.8 we see that φ is strongly injective.

☐

In particular we get the following result, which is a weak version of a theorem of A. M. Gabrielov [Ga2]:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathbb{k}$ be a field of any characteristic. Let $\varphi\ :\ A\longrightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of henselian or analytic $\mathbb{k}$-algebras, where A and B are regular. If $grk\,\varphi=dimA$, then φ is strongly injective.*

Proof. We give the proof for analytic $\mathbb{k}$-algebras, the case of henselian $\mathbb{k}$-algebras being the same.
Using corollary 6.5, we can assume that $A=\mathbb{k}'\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\{x_1,...,x_n\}$ and $B=\mathbb{k}''\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\{y_1,...,y_m\}$ where $\mathbb{k}'\longrightarrow\mathbb{k}''$ is finite. If we replace A by $\mathbb{k}''\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\{x_1,...,x_n\}$ then the geometric rank will not change by lemma 6.9. Moreover, if $\varphi_{\mathbb{k}''}\ :\ \mathbb{k}''\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\{x_1,...,x_n\}\longrightarrow\mathbb{k}''\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\{y_1,...,y_m\}$ is strongly injective, then φ is strongly injective because if $f\in\varphi^{-1}(\widehat{B})$, then $f\in\varphi_{\mathbb{k}''}^{-1}(\widehat{B})$ and f is convergent. So from now on we assume that $\mathbb{k}=\mathbb{k}''$.
Using theorem 6.10, we see that $\sigma_1\circ\varphi=\overline{\varphi}\circ\sigma_2$ where the homomorphisms σ_1 and σ_2 are compositions of $\mathbb{k}$-automorphisms of A and B and of homomorphisms of the form q_k and ψ_d, and $\overline{\varphi}$ is defined by $\overline{\varphi}(x_i)=y_i^{p^{\alpha_i}}u_i$, some some units u_i and some $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{N}$, for all i. Then using lemmas 6.7, 6.8 and 6.11, we see that φ is strongly injective. ☐

7. A REMARK ABOUT DIMENSION TWO

Example 5.3 shows that we can construct injective homomorphisms $\varphi\ :\ A\longrightarrow B$ with $\mathrm{grk}\,\varphi<\mathrm{dim}A$ as soon as $\mathrm{dim}A\geq 3$. We prove here that it is not possible to find such examples when A is an henselian, analytic or complete $\mathbb{k}$-algebra and $\mathrm{dim}A\leq 2$.
In fact, it is obvious that if $\mathrm{dim}A=1$ and φ is injective then $\mathrm{grk}\,\varphi=1$. Indeed, using lemma 3.4, we can replace A by $\mathbb{k}\langle x\rangle$, $\mathbb{k}\{x\}$ or $\mathbb{k}[[x]]$ and B by $\mathbb{k}'\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\langle y_1,...,y_m\rangle$, $\mathbb{k}'\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathbb{k}\{y_1,...,y_m\}$ or $\mathbb{k}[[y_1,...,y_m]]$. Then the result is immediate.
When $\mathrm{dim}A=2$ we have the following result that shows us that $\mathrm{dim}A=2$ is a nice case as remarked by S. S. Abhyankar and M. van der Put in [Ab1] and [A-vdP]:

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\varphi\ :A\longrightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of henselian, analytic or complete $\mathbb{k}$-algebras where $\widehat{A}$ is an integral domain of dimension 2 and B is regular. Then φ is injective if and only if $grk\,\varphi=2$.*

Proof. From lemma 3.3, we see that $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = 2$ implies that φ is injective. So from now on we assume that φ is injective.

First assume that A and B are analytic $\Bbbk$-algebras (the case of henselian $\Bbbk$-algebras is the same). There exists an injective and finite homomorphism of analytic $\Bbbk$-algebras $\pi : \Bbbk\{x_1, x_2\} \longrightarrow A$, so using lemma 3.4, we can replace A by $\Bbbk\{x_1, x_2\}$. Because B is regular we assume that $B = \Bbbk' \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Bbbk\{y_1, ..., y_m\}$ (corollary 6.5) and $\Bbbk'$ is a finite extension of $\Bbbk$. Then, we can replace $\Bbbk\{x_1, x_2\}$ by $\Bbbk' \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Bbbk\{x_1, x_2\}$ by using lemma 6.9.

If A and B are complete, there exists an injective and finite homomorphism of local $\Bbbk$-algebras $\pi : \Bbbk[[x_1, x_2]] \longrightarrow A$, so using lemma 3.4, we can replace A by $\Bbbk[[x_1, x_2]]$. Because B is regular we assume that $B = \Bbbk'[[y_1, ..., y_m]]$ where $\Bbbk \longrightarrow \Bbbk'$ is finite. The injection $\Bbbk[[x_1, x_2]] \longrightarrow \Bbbk'[[x_1, x_2]]$ is finite because $\Bbbk \longrightarrow \Bbbk'$ is finite, then the homomorphism $\Bbbk'[[x_1, x_2]] \longrightarrow \Bbbk'[[y_1, ..., y_m]]$ is injective. Because the geometric rank does not change after finite extension of ground field, we can assume that $\Bbbk = \Bbbk'$.

From now on we do the proof for analytic $\Bbbk$-algebras, the proof for henselian and complete $\Bbbk$-algebras being the same. To compute $\operatorname{grk}\varphi$ we use the algorithmic proof of theorem 4.3. We first give the proof when $\operatorname{char}\Bbbk = p > 0$. We define

$$\Delta := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \ / \ \exists x \in \Bbbk\{x_1, x_2\} \text{ with } \operatorname{ord}(x) = 1, \text{ and } p^{\alpha} \text{ divides } \operatorname{ord}(\varphi(x))$$

$$\text{but } p^{\alpha+1} \text{ does not divide } \operatorname{ord}(\varphi(x))\}$$

We denote by α the least integer of Δ. Let us choose an element $x \in \Bbbk' \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Bbbk\{x_1, x_2\}$ such that p^{α} divides $\operatorname{ord}(\varphi(x))$ and $p^{\alpha+1}$ does not divide $\operatorname{ord}(\varphi(x))$. Using Step 1 and Step 2 of the proof of the theorem 4.3, we can replace φ by $\overline{\varphi}$ such that $\overline{\varphi}(x) = y_1^{p^{\alpha}} u$ for some unit u and $\varphi = \psi \circ \overline{\varphi} \circ \psi_d \circ \sigma$ where ψ is a composition of blowing-ups and automorphisms of $\Bbbk' \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Bbbk\{y_1, ..., y_m\}$, σ is an automorphism of $\Bbbk' \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Bbbk\{x_1, x_2\}$ and ψ_d is a modification of type (3). More precisely $\psi_d(x) = x^d$ and $\psi_d(z) = z$ where $z \in A$ is choosen such that $\Bbbk' \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Bbbk\{x, z\} = \Bbbk' \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Bbbk\{x_1, x_2\}$.

Then using Step 3, we can replace z by an element of the form $z' := z - \sum_k c_k x^k$ with $c_k \in \Bbbk$ for all k, and then we can assume that $\overline{\varphi}(z')$ has no monomial of the form cy_1^k such that p^{α} does not divide k.

Assume that $\overline{\varphi}(z') = 0$. We can write $d = p^r e$ with $e \wedge p = 1$. Then we denote

$$f := \prod_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{U}_e} \left(z - \sum_k c_k (\varepsilon x)^k \right)^{p^r}$$

where $\mathbb{U}_e$ is the set of the e-roots of 1 in some finite field extension $\Bbbk''$ of $\Bbbk$. We have $f \in \Bbbk' \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Bbbk''\{x^d, z\} = \operatorname{Im}(\psi_d)$ because the coefficient of each monomial $x^s z^t$ of f is a linear combination, with coefficient in $\Bbbk$, of terms $\sigma_1^{s_1} ... \sigma_e^{s_e}$, where σ_i is the i-th elementary symmetric polynomial in e variables at $(1, \varepsilon, ..., \varepsilon^{e-1})$ where ε is a primitive e-root of 1. Moreover these terms satisfy $\sum_i i s_i = s$, hence they are equal to 0 if $s \notin (e)$, because $\sigma_i(1, \varepsilon, ..., \varepsilon^{e-1}) = 0$ if $i \neq e$. Moreover, because the minimal polynomial of ε over $\Bbbk$ has its coefficients in $\Bbbk$, then $\sigma_i(1, \varepsilon, ..., \varepsilon^{e-1}) \in \Bbbk$. Then $f \in \Bbbk' \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Bbbk\{x^d, z\}$. By construction we have $\overline{\varphi}(f) = 0$. Thus $\overline{\varphi} \circ \psi_d$ is not injective. Because modifications of type (1) and (2) transform injective homomorphisms into injective homomorphisms, this gives us that φ is not injective, which is a contradiction. Hence $\overline{\varphi}(z') \neq 0$.

Now, because α is the least integer of Δ, there is no element $x' \in A$ such that $p^{\alpha-1}$ divides $\operatorname{ord}(\overline{\varphi}(x'))$. Indeed, modifications of type (1), (2) and (3) do not modify the least integer of Δ. In particular $\operatorname{in}(\overline{\varphi}(z'))$ has no monomial of the form cy_1^k such that p^{α} does not divide k. Then we can skip Step 4, and using Step 5, we can replace $\overline{\varphi}$ by $\overline{\overline{\varphi}}$ such that $\overline{\overline{\varphi}}(x) = y_1^{p^{\alpha} e_{1,1}} y_2^{p^{\alpha} e_{1,2}} u_1$,

$\overline{\overline{\varphi}}(z') = y_1^{i_1e_{1,1}+i_2e_{2,1}}y_2^{i_1e_{1,2}+i_2e_{2,2}}u_2$ for some units u_1 and u_2, and with $\overline{\varphi} = \psi' \circ \overline{\overline{\varphi}}$ where ψ' is a composition of blowing-ups and automorphisms of $\mathbb{k}\{y_1, ..., y_m\}$. Moreover the matrix $(e_{i,j})_{i,j}$ is invertible. Then using modifications of type (4) we transform $\overline{\overline{\varphi}}$ in $\overline{\overline{\overline{\varphi}}}$ such that $\overline{\overline{\overline{\varphi}}}(x) = y_1^{p^{\alpha_1}}u_1$ and $\overline{\overline{\overline{\varphi}}}(z') = y_2^{p^{\alpha_2}}u_2$ for some units u_1 and u_2. Hence $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = 2$.
Now, if $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{k} = 0$ then we can do almost the same, but we do not need Δ. We just choose $x = x_1$ and $z = x_2$. After that the proof is the same as above. □

Corollary 7.2. *Let* $\varphi : \mathbb{k}\{x_1, x_2\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}\{y_1, ..., y_m\}$ *(resp.* $\varphi : \mathbb{k}\langle x_1, x_2\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}\langle y_1, ..., y_m\rangle$*) be an injective homomorphisms of analytic (resp. henselian)* $\mathbb{k}$*-algebras. Then, for any finite extension of fields* $\mathbb{k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}'$*, the induced homomorphism* $\varphi_{\mathbb{k}'} : \mathbb{k}'\{x_1, x_2\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}'\{y_1, ..., y_m\}$ *(resp.* $\varphi_{\mathbb{k}'} : \mathbb{k}'\langle x_1, x_2\rangle \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}'\langle y_1, ..., y_m\rangle$*) is injective.*

Proof. By theorem 7.1 $\operatorname{grk}\varphi = 2$. Then, if $\operatorname{char}\mathbb{k} = p > p$, φ can be transformed using modifications into a homomorphism $\overline{\varphi}$ such that $\overline{\varphi}(x_1) = y_1^{p^{\alpha_1}}u_1$ and $\overline{\varphi}(x_2) = y_2^{p^{\alpha_2}}u_2$ for some units. Then $\varphi_{\mathbb{k}'}$ can be transformed in the same way and $\operatorname{grk}\varphi_{\mathbb{k}'} = 2$. Then $\varphi_{\mathbb{k}'}$ is injective by lemma 3.3. The proof in characteristic zero is the same. □

From this result we deduce the following two results, the first being a generalization to the case of henselian $\mathbb{k}$-algebras of a theorem of S. S. Abhyankar and M. van der Put (cf. theorem 2.10 of [A-vdP]):

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ *be a homomorphism of henselian or analytic* $\mathbb{k}$*-algebras where* A *is regular and* $dim(A) = 2$*. If* φ *is injective then it is strongly injective.*

Proof. We can always find an injective homomorphism of analytic or henselian $\mathbb{k}$-algebras $\sigma : B \longrightarrow B'$ where B' is regular by using the de Jong's theorem on alterations [dJ]. If φ is injective then $\sigma \circ \varphi$ is injective. So we can assume that B is regular. Thus $\operatorname{grk}(\sigma \circ \varphi) = 2$ from theorem 7.1. Hence from theorem 1.2 $\sigma \circ \varphi$ is strongly injective and then φ is strongly injective by lemma 6.8. □

Corollary 7.3. *Let* $\varphi : A \longrightarrow B$ *denote a homomorphism of complete local* $\mathbb{k}$*-algebras where* A *is a two dimensional integral domain. Then* φ *is injective if and only if* φ *has a linear Chevalley estimate.*

Proof. It is obvious that φ is injective if it has a linear Chevalley estimate.
As before we can find an injective homomorphism of local $\mathbb{k}$-algebras $B \longrightarrow B'$ where B' is regular by using the de Jong's theorem on alterations [dJ]. It is obvious that if $A \longrightarrow B'$ has a linear Chevalley estimate then $A \longrightarrow B$ does also. Hence we can assume that B is regular. In this case the result comes from theorems 7.1 and 1.1. □

References

[Ab1] S. S. Abhyankar, Two notes on formal power series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **7**, (1956), 905-907.

[Ab2] S. S. Abhyankar, Local Analytic Geometry, Academic Press, (1964).

[Ab3] S. S. Abhyankar, Automorphisms of analytic local rings, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, **36**, (1969), 139-163.

[A-vdP] S. S. Abhyankar, M. van der Put, Homomorphisms of analytic local rings, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **242**, (1970), 26-60.

[A-M-vdP] S. S. Abhyankar, T. T. Moh, M. van der Put, Invariants of analytic local rings, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, **36**, (1969), 165-193.

[Ar] M. Artin, Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math*, **36**, (1969), 23-58.

[Be1] J. Becker, On the nonflatness of analytic tensor product, *Math. Ann.*, **243**, (1979), 1-10.

[Be2] J. Becker, Solving power series equations II: Change of ground field, *Annales de l'institut Fourier*, **29**, (1979), 1-23.

[Be3] J. Becker, On the composition of power series, in *Commutative Algebra (Analytic Methods), Lectures Notes in Pures Appl. Math.* **68**, (1982), 159-172.

[B-Z] J. Becker, W. R. Zame, Applications of functional analysis to the solution of power series equations, *Math. Ann.*, **243**, (1979), 37-54.

[B-M] E. Bierstone, P. Milman, Composite differentiable functions, *Ann. of Math.*, **116**, (1982), 541-558.

[Ch] C. Chevalley, On the theory of local rings, *Ann. of Math.*, **44**, (1943), 690-708.

[Cu] S. D. Cutkosky, The struture of morphisms, *Algebra, arithmetic and geometry with applications (West Lafayette, IN, 2000)*, 273-281, Springer, Berlin, (2004).

[E-H] P. M. Eakin, G. A. Harris, When $\Phi(f)$ convergent implies f convergent, *Math. Ann.*, **229**, (1977), 201-210.

[Ga1] A. M. Gabrielov, The formal relations between analytic functions, *Funkcional. Anal. i Prilovzen*, **5**, (1971), 64-65.

[Ga2] A. M. Gabrielov, Formal relations among analytic functions, *Izv. Akad. Naut. SSSR*, **37**, (1973), 1056-1088.

[Hi] H. Hironaka, Idealistic exponents of singularity, Algebraic geometry (J. J. Sylvester Sympos., Johns Hopkins Univ., Baltimore, Md., 1976), pp. 52–125. Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, Md., 1977.

[Hu] R. Hübl, Completions of local morphisms and valuations, *Math. Z.*, **236**, (2001), 201-214.

[Iz1] S. Izumi, Linear complementary inequalities for orders of germs of analytic functions, *Invent. Math.*, **65**, (1982), 459-471.

[Iz2] S. Izumi, A mesure of integrity for local analytic algebras, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **21**, (1985), 719-736.

[Iz3] S. Izumi, Gabrielov's Rank Condition is Equivalent to an Inequality of Reduced Orders, *Math. Ann*, **276**, (1986), 81-89.

[Iz4] S. Izumi, The rank condition and convergence of formal functions, *Duke Math. J.*, **59**, (1989), 241-264.

[Iz5] S. Izumi, Note on Linear Chevalley Estimate for Homomorphisms of Local Algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **24(12)**, (1996), 3885-3889.

[dJ] A. J. de Jong, Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S*, **83**, (1996), 51-93.

[ML-S] S. MacLane, O. F. G. Schilling, Zero-dimensional branches of rank one on algebraic varieties, *Ann. of Math.*, **40**, (1939).

[Mi] P. Milman, Polynomial and analytic homomorphisms of analytic rings, *Math. Ann.*, **232**, (1978), 247-253.

[Na] M. Nagata, Local Rings, Interscience, New York, (1962).

[Os] W. F. Osgood, On functions of several complex variables, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **17**, (1916), 1-8.

[Re] D. Rees, Izumi's theorem, Commutative algebra (Berkeley, CA, 1987), 407–416, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, **15**, Springer, New York, (1989).

[Sp1] M. Spivakovsky, On convergence of formal functions: a simple algebraic proof of Gabrielov's theorem, *Publ. Dip. di Mat.*, Universitá di Pisa, Sezione di Geometria e Algebra, Seminario di Geometria Reale (volume dedicated to Aldo Andreotti), vol.1.41 (560) (1990), 69-77.

[Sp2] M. Spivakovsky, A new proof of D. Popescu's theorem on smoothing of ring homomorphisms, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **12** (1999), no. 2, 381-444.

[To1] J.-Cl. Tougeron, Idéaux de fonctions différentiables, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 71, Springer-Verlag, (1972).

[To2] J.-Cl. Tougeron, Sur les racines d'un polynôme à coefficients séries formelles, *Real analytic and algebraic geometry (Trento 1988)*, 325-363, *Lectures Notes in Math.*, **1420**, (1990).

[Z-S] O. Zariski, P. Samuel, Commutative Algebra - volume II, Springer-Verlag, 1960.